

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/970,741	LITTLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lawrence Shrader	2193	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Lawrence Shrader.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ken Kenna, Reg. # 45,445.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 April 2005

**Time:** 7:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4, and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Requested permission for examiner's amendment to correct three instances Java to JAVA in the specification, and to change "the progress" to "progress" in claims 4 and 16 to avoid any interpretation of lacking antecedent basis.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)